Food Insecurity and Risk for Obesity Among Children and Families: Is There a Relationship?

The majority of U.S. households are food secure, meaning they have steady and dependable access to enough food to support active, healthy lives for all household members. Unfortunately, the remaining 15 percent of U.S. households have limited or uncertain access to adequate food—they are food insecure.

Members of these food-insecure households use a number of coping strategies, such as eating a less varied diet, participating in federal food and nutrition assistance programs, and obtaining emergency food from community food pantries and kitchens. However, an increasing proportion of households (from 3.1 percent of households in 2000 to 5.7 percent of households in 2008) are unable to avoid periodic reductions in food intake and disruptions to their normal eating patterns. While most households are able to shield children from reduced food intake, in more than 500,000 households across the United States children as well as adults experience periods when their normal eating patterns are disrupted by a lack of adequate food.

Numerous studies have linked household food insecurity to poorer nutritional, physical and mental health among adults and children. In addition, research has found that food insecure children are more likely to have behavioral problems and academic difficulties. Food insecurity and obesity are widely viewed as separate public health problems; however, there is growing concern that these issues are related. Food insecurity may lead to weight gain because the least expensive food options to obtain a given amount of calories are typically high in calories and low in nutrients. Research suggests that high-calorie foods are easy to over-consume and promote weight gain if they are part of a regular diet. Households with limited resources tend to spend less on food overall and, more specifically, less on healthy foods that are lower in energy but more costly (e.g., fruits and vegetables).

With obesity rates at epidemic levels among children, adolescents, and adults in the United States, it is critical to understand the relationship between food insecurity and obesity and how to address both issues. This research synthesis reviews studies that examined the seemingly contradictory relationship between food insecurity and obesity in the United States, with a focus on children and families. Given the potential implications for policy, the synthesis also explores the role nutrition assistance programs may play in the relationship between food insecurity and obesity for youth and adults.

Key Research Results

- Nearly 15 percent of U.S. households experience food insecurity, which disproportionately impacts populations at highest risk for obesity, including low-income households and members of racial/ethnic minority groups.
- Although a few studies have found that children living in food-insecure households are more likely to be obese than children who are food secure, most studies have found no evidence of a direct relationship.
- Women who experience food insecurity are more likely to be obese compared with food secure women; however, it is unclear whether food insecurity promotes increased weight gain over time. Research among men has not consistently shown a relationship between food insecurity and weight status.
As the largest federal food and nutrition assistance program, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP, formerly called the Food Stamp Program) has the potential to affect obesity among low-income Americans.64 However, additional research is needed to understand the pathways through which SNAP benefits might help to prevent obesity and to determine which policy changes may be needed.65

Research does not suggest that use of SNAP benefits promotes obesity among children, adolescents66–71 or adult men.72–77

Some research suggests that long-term use of SNAP benefits may increase risk for obesity among adult women,78–84 a group representing approximately 28 percent of program participants.85

Few studies have examined whether there is a relationship between participation in other food and nutrition assistance programs and risk for obesity in youth.86–90 However, there is little evidence to indicate that participation in the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC), the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) or the School Breakfast Program increases risk for obesity.91

**Details on Key Research Results**

Nearly 15 percent of U.S. households experience food insecurity, which disproportionately impacts populations at highest risk for obesity, including low-income households and members of racial/ethnic minority groups (Figure 1).98

The U.S. Department of Agriculture conducts an annual, nationally representative survey of U.S. households to monitor the extent and severity of food insecurity. In 2008, a total of 44,000 households were surveyed about experiences and behaviors that indicate food insecurity and whether they had used nutrition assistance programs.99 One adult member of each household was asked 10 questions about the eating patterns of adults in the household and the household overall. One of the questions was, “In the last 12 months, did you or other adults in your household ever not eat for a whole day because there wasn’t enough money for food?” If the household included any members ages 0 to 18, the survey asked eight additional questions about the eating patterns of those children. The food security status (see Table 1) of each interviewed household was then determined by the number of food-insecure conditions reported. While the survey is representative of U.S. households, the results likely underestimate the extent of food insecurity in the United States because homeless families and individuals were not included.

### Table 1. U.S. Department of Agriculture Categories Describing Food Security Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food secure</th>
<th>Food insecure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High food security: All household members have access at all times to enough food for an active, healthy life.</td>
<td>Low food security (formerly called “food insecurity without hunger”): Household members are, at times, unable to acquire enough food because they have insufficient money and other resources for food. These households report reducing the quality or variety of their diet, but rarely need to reduce their food intake.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal food security: Household members are, at times, uncertain of having enough food because they have insufficient money and other resources for food. These households report reducing the quality or variety of their diet, but rarely need to reduce their food intake.</td>
<td>Very low food security (formerly called “food insecurity with hunger”): The eating patterns of one or more household members are, at times, disrupted and their food intake reduced because they couldn’t afford enough food.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The December 2008 survey showed that 14.6 percent of U.S. households (17 million households) were food insecure. These households, at some time during the year, had difficulty providing enough food for all of their members due to a lack of resources. Approximately two-thirds of food-insecure households were able to avoid reductions in food intake by using coping strategies, such as participating in nutrition assistance programs. Among food-insecure households, 55 percent reported participating in one or more of the three largest federal nutrition assistance programs—SNAP, NSLP and WIC. Twenty percent of food-insecure households used emergency food pantries at some time during the year, and 2.6 percent ate one or more meals at an emergency kitchen.

One-third of food-insecure households (6.7 million households) reported very low food security; in these households, the food intake of some household members was reduced, and their normal eating patterns were disrupted due to a lack of resources.100 Very low food security in the United States is usually not chronic, but occurs episodically throughout the year. It is estimated that between 1.1 million and 1.4 million
Food insecurity disproportionately impacts households with incomes below the official poverty line (42.2 percent) and households with children that are headed by a single female (37.2 percent) or male adult (27.6 percent). Rates of very low food security and food insecurity among children follow similar patterns. More than 13 percent of households with children that are headed by a single woman experience very low food security at some time during the year. In addition, 19.3 percent of households with incomes below the poverty line experience very low food security at some time during the year.

Food insecurity also disproportionately impacts households headed by racial/ethnic minorities (see Figure 1). The proportion of households that experience food insecurity among those headed by Black (25.7 percent) and Hispanic (26.9 percent) adults is more than double the proportion among households headed by non-Hispanic White adults (10.7 percent). Likewise, very low food security is experienced by 10.1 percent of Black households and 8.8 percent of Hispanic households, compared with 4.5 percent of non-Hispanic White households.

Although a few studies have found that children living in food-insecure households are more likely to be obese than children who are food secure, most studies have found no evidence of a direct relationship. Several research studies have examined whether there is a relationship between household food insecurity and risk for obesity among children and adolescents, including at least 16 cross-sectional and four longitudinal studies (Table 2). This research has produced mixed results. Although results have varied across demographic groups, a few studies have found evidence relating household food insecurity to higher rates of obesity among children and adolescents. Numerous other studies have found no evidence of a direct relationship. Further, some studies conversely have found that children living in food-insecure households are less likely to be obese compared with children who are food secure. A small number of studies, which included a child-specific measure of food insecurity, have likewise reported conflicting results.

When examined together, these research studies addressing food insecurity and obesity suggest that the inter-relationships between household food insecurity and children’s growth are likely to be complex. For example, a nationally representative study of nearly 8,700 U.S. toddlers investigated potential pathways through which household food insecurity during the first year of life may later influence child nutritional status.
The study made use of parent-reported information on household food insecurity, infant-feeding practices and maternal depression; observations of mother-child interactions; and direct assessments of child weight and length at 24 months. The results showed:

- Food insecurity did not appear to directly affect child risk for obesity (weight for length ≥95th percentile).
- Parents in food-insecure households exhibited less-positive parenting practices (e.g., sensitivity to infant’s cues, behaviors fostering social-emotional growth) than parents in food-secure households. Less-positive parenting was further linked to poorer infant-feeding practices (e.g., non-adherence to infant feeding recommendations involving breastfeeding and the timing of introduction of solid foods).
- The results suggest that the coping strategies food-insecure households use to stave off hunger may affect parenting practices, which ultimately influence children’s risk for obesity.

Another study among predominantly Hispanic and African-American adolescents (ages 10–15) in low-income families similarly found household stress may play a role in relationships between food insecurity and obesity.169 Measurements of height and weight were completed for more than 1,000 adolescents, and their female caregivers were interviewed regarding the adolescent’s food-insecurity status and sources of stress in the home (e.g., inadequate maternal social support network, exposure to domestic violence, lack of child or maternal health insurance). The findings of this cross-sectional study included:

- Food insecurity, maternal and family stressors were not individually related to the weight status of the adolescent. However, an increase in maternal stress was found to amplify a food-insecure adolescent’s likelihood of being obese.
- The likelihood of being obese among adolescents who were food secure was not found to change when a greater number of maternal stressors were present. In contrast, as the number of maternal stressors increased in households where adolescents were food insecure, the adolescent’s likelihood of being obese increased from 35 percent when only one stressor was experienced to approximately 70 percent when three stressors were experienced.
- While a mechanism linking maternal stress to obesity was not investigated in this study, it is possible that stressed mothers exhibit diminished parenting skills and are less likely than mothers with fewer stressors to provide healthy foods for meals.

Women who experience food insecurity are more likely to be obese compared with food secure women;170–178 however, it is unclear whether food insecurity promotes increased weight gain over time.179, 180, 181, 182 Research among men183–189 has not consistently shown a relationship between food insecurity and weight status.

At least 13 cross-sectional190–202 and four longitudinal studies203, 204, 205, 206 have examined whether there is relationship between household food insecurity and weight status among adult women. Despite some inconsistencies,207, 208, 209, 210 most cross-sectional studies have found that women who experience food insecurity are more likely to be obese compared with women who are food secure.211–219 Longitudinal studies (see Table 2), which are better able to address the ordering of relationships, have produced conflicting results. Three longitudinal studies found no or little evidence to suggest that household food insecurity promotes increased weight gain,220, 221, 222 and one study found women who were persistently food insecure gained less weight over time.223

Fewer studies have examined whether there is a relationship between food insecurity and weight status among adult men; only seven cross-sectional224–230 studies and no longitudinal studies were found. Among the seven studies, two studies found that men who experienced food insecurity were more likely to be obese compared with men who were food secure,231, 232 and four studies found no evidence of an association.233, 234, 235, 236 One study found that men who experienced marginal food insecurity were more likely to be obese compared with men who were fully food secure.237 However, men who reported low food security, including a need to adjust household food management strategies or reduce the quality of their diet, were less likely to be obese compared with men who were fully food secure.

As the largest federal food and nutrition assistance program, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP, formerly called the Food Stamp Program) has the potential to affect obesity among low-income Americans.238 However, additional research is needed to understand the pathways through which SNAP benefits might help to prevent obesity and to determine which policy changes may be needed.239

SNAP is the largest federal food and nutrition assistance program and provides benefits to over 28 million people monthly.240 In 2008, 49 percent of participants were children (<17 years of age, 50 percent female), 42 percent were nonelderly adults (ages 18–59; 67 percent female), and 9 percent were elderly adults (≥60 years of age; 67 percent female).241 SNAP benefits are intended to reduce
food insecurity and increase access to a nutritious diet for eligible low-income households. Research suggests that households tend to enroll in SNAP during periods when their food insecurity has worsened and that receipt of benefits leads to improvements in food security.242 The average monthly benefit provided to eligible households is $102 per person and can be used only to purchase food.243 Given the large size and importance of SNAP, there is great interest in the program’s potential to affect obesity among low-income Americans.

There are two main pathways through which SNAP benefits might contribute to weight gain: 1) receipt of benefits could encourage households to spend more money on high-calorie foods than they otherwise would and to consume excess calories as a result; and 2) SNAP benefits could alleviate hunger only temporarily and allow for a cycle including short-lived periods of abundance and overeating followed by deprivation.244 With regard to the first pathway, a small number of studies have shown some SNAP households spend less money on food when they receive an equal level of benefits as cash.245, 246, 247 However, further research is needed to determine whether higher food expenditures among SNAP participants necessarily lead to overconsumption of calories and obesity; it is alternatively possible that higher spending could lead to the selection of healthier foods (e.g., fresh fruits and vegetables). Further research is needed to determine the impact of SNAP participation on energy consumption and what strategies might be instituted in addition to current programs and policies designed to promote healthier food choices.248, 249 For example, the Farmers’ Market Scrip Demonstration Project allows a farmers’ market to purchase one central license and provides a free point-of-sale device so that several or all farmers at the market can accept SNAP benefits for fresh produce.250

Research explicitly testing the second pathway also is lacking. There is some evidence that distorted patterns of consumption characterized by periods of inadequate intake and periods of overeating can gradually lead to increased weight.251 In addition, some research has found that SNAP participants reduce their spending on food and that some participants modestly reduce their food consumption over the benefit month.252, 253, 254 However, the reported reductions in food consumption were small on average, and it is possible that SNAP participants might spend most of their benefits soon after receiving them, but spread out their actual consumption of purchased foods over the course of the benefit month.

Research does not suggest that use of SNAP benefits promotes obesity among children, adolescents255–260 or adult men.261–266

At least six cross-sectional studies267–272 and three longitudinal studies273, 274, 275 have examined whether there is a relationship between use of SNAP benefits and weight status among youths. With few exceptions,276, 277, 278 most studies have found no evidence to indicate that use of SNAP benefits increases risk for obesity.279–284 The results of two studies among youth conversely indicated that use of SNAP benefits may reduce risk for obesity for some groups.285, 286

Most cross-sectional287, 288, 289 and longitudinal290, 291, 292 studies similarly have found no evidence to indicate that use of SNAP benefits increases risk for obesity among adult men. The results of only one study indicated that long-term (but not short- or medium-term) use of SNAP benefits may increase risk for obesity.293 This study examined the relationship between use of SNAP benefits and weight status in a sample of 3,681 males eligible for program participation over a 15-year period (1985–2000). Long-term use of SNAP benefits was associated with an increase in the obesity rate of 15 percentage points, from 15 percent to 30 percent.

Some research suggests that long-term use of SNAP benefits may increase risk for obesity among adult women,294–300 a group representing approximately 28 percent of program participants.301

At least three cross-sectional studies302, 303, 304 and six longitudinal studies305–310 have examined whether there is a relationship between use of SNAP benefits and weight status among adult women. Despite some inconsistencies,311, 312 the results of these studies suggest that long-term use of SNAP benefits may increase risk for obesity.313–318

Use of SNAP benefits may influence the complex relationship between food insecurity and risk for obesity among some women. One illustrative study in a sample of 5,303 women ages 18–74 examined the association between changes in body weight, SNAP participation status and food security status over two years (1999 to 2001).319 This study found:

- Among women who were persistently food secure, use of SNAP benefits was not related to weight change over the two years.
- Changes in food-security status over the two years were not related to changes in weight. Among women who changed food-security status, use of SNAP benefits also was not related to weight change over the two years.
Persistent food insecurity over the two years was related to reduced weight gain. However, among women who were persistently food insecure, use of SNAP benefits was found to offset the difference and was related to an increase in weight of approximately two pounds per year.

Few studies have examined whether there is a relationship between participation in other food and nutrition assistance programs and risk for obesity in youth. However, there is little evidence to indicate that participation in the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC), the National School Lunch Program (NSLP), or the School Breakfast Program (SBP) increases risk for obesity.

Only seven studies have examined this relationship for the WIC program. Another five studies have examined this relationship for free or reduced-cost participation in the NSLP or SBP. The results of one cross-sectional study suggested that participation in WIC may increase risk for obesity among non-Hispanic White children, but showed no association among African-American or Hispanic children. No evidence was found indicating that participation in the NSLP or SBP increases risk for obesity. The results of three studies indicated that participation in the WIC program, NSLP, and SBP may reduce risk for obesity for some groups.

For example, a nationally representative study in a sample of young children from low-income families examined the relationship between participation in WIC at 4 years of age and risk for obesity at ages 4, 5 and 6. Parents of 7,310 children were interviewed every four months and reported participation in WIC, as well as their children’s height and weight. The results showed participation in WIC was related to a lower risk for obesity and was not related to an increased risk for underweight. Although more research is needed, the findings of this one study suggest that participation in WIC may reduce risk for obesity through the provision of healthy foods and/or nutrition education for mothers.

Another study assessed participation in other federal nutrition assistance programs (SNAP, NSLP and SBP), household food insecurity, height and weight status for 772 school-aged children from low-income families (ages 5–12). In this sample, children from food-secure households were more likely to be obese than children from food-insecure households. The study separately examined whether there was a relationship between participation in federal nutrition assistance programs and risk for obesity among children who were food secure and children who were food insecure. Study findings indicated that federal nutrition assistance programs may play a protective role, particularly among girls.

Among girls in food-secure households, participation in federal nutrition assistance programs was generally unrelated to risk for obesity. However, girls in households receiving SNAP benefits had a reduced risk for obesity.

Among girls in food-insecure households, participation in any or all programs was related to a reduced risk for obesity.

Among boys in both food-secure and food-insecure households, participation in any or all programs was not related to risk for obesity.

Conclusions & Implications

Food insecurity affects 17 million U.S. households and disproportionately affects groups at the highest risk for obesity. The majority of food-insecure households receive benefits from one or more of the three largest federal food and nutrition assistance programs (SNAP, NSLP and WIC). Although it is unclear whether food insecurity promotes increased weight gain over time, adult women who experience food insecurity are more likely to be obese compared with women with adequate household resources for food. Women who receive SNAP benefits also may be at increased risk for obesity. Among adult men and children, most studies have found no evidence of a direct relationship between food insecurity and risk for obesity. Research does not suggest that use of SNAP benefits promotes obesity among these groups, representing the majority of program participants. Although much additional research is needed to fully understand the linkages between food insecurity, SNAP benefits and obesity in women, policy changes should be evaluated to determine the potential for SNAP and other food and nutrition assistance programs to improve the food choices of all recipients. Policies are needed that effectively promote healthy food choices and reduce risk for obesity among women participating in SNAP, without adversely impacting their children and other household participants.

Areas Where Additional Research Is Needed

Additional longitudinal studies are needed to better understand the relationship between food insecurity and obesity. Although eight longitudinal studies have examined the relationship between food insecurity and obesity among youth or non-elderly adults, all four longitudinal studies among children were conducted in just one of two youth cohorts, and none of the studies among adult men were longitudinal.
There is a need for both qualitative and quantitative studies to illustrate the mechanisms through which food insecurity may promote obesity among different demographic groups. In particular, additional research is needed to understand the potential impact of both current and past experiences of food insecurity on food shopping, feeding practices, and parenting practices among mothers and fathers. There also is a need to better understand the potential influence of gender and race/ethnicity on relationships between food insecurity and obesity.

Longitudinal studies are needed to examine why long-term participation in SNAP may be different from short- and medium-term participation in terms of effects on weight gain and risk for obesity. Researchers carrying out these studies should control for bias due to self-selection, as those who choose to participate in SNAP may have different characteristics (e.g., lower income) than those who are eligible but choose not to participate. Further, long-term participants are likely to have different characteristics than participants who enroll for shorter periods.

Future studies should use measured height and weight, as some research suggests the use of self-reported measurements may bias the association. One study conducted in Canada made use of both measured and self-reported data for height and weight, and it found relationships between obesity and food insecurity to be more pronounced when using the self-reported measurements.

A standard assessment tool and definition for determining food insecurity should be used in future research to better allow for comparisons among studies. While most studies have used a form of the U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module to assess food security, this research has not consistently defined food insecurity on the basis of responses to the module. Researchers investigating whether there is an association between food insecurity and obesity among youths also should include child-referenced measures of food insecurity.

Research is needed to illuminate the pathways through which SNAP benefits might contribute to obesity and determine whether program changes may be needed. In particular, there is a need for evaluation studies to examine whether increasing the frequency of SNAP benefit distribution would improve the nutritional quality of participants’ diets and reduce disturbances to normal eating patterns (i.e., alternating periods of under- and overconsumption).

Although there is little evidence to indicate that participation in WIC, NSLP or SBP increases risk for obesity, future research should be conducted to address potential areas for program improvements that may help to reduce obesity in youth.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Food Security Measure</th>
<th>Outcome Measure</th>
<th>Summary of Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronte-Tinkew et al, 2007</td>
<td>ECLS–Birth Cohort; n=8,693 children assessed at 9 months and 24 months of age</td>
<td>Parent interview responses to the Household Food Security Survey Module</td>
<td>Measured length and weight Obesity defined by weight for length ≥95th percentile</td>
<td>Independent of demographic factors, household food insecurity was not directly associated with risk for obesity. Household food insecurity was related to less-positive parenting practices. Positive parenting practices influenced good infant feeding practices, and good infant feeding practices were associated with lower risk for obesity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose and Bodor, 2006</td>
<td>ECLS–Kindergarten Cohort, n=12,890 children assessed at baseline in kindergarten and at follow-up in first grade</td>
<td>Parent interview responses to the U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module</td>
<td>Measured height and weight Obesity defined by a BMI ≥95th percentile High weight gain defined as &gt;85th percentile of the change in BMI distribution</td>
<td>Household food insecurity was not predictive of obesity at follow-up in first grade, but was related to a lower risk for high weight gain between kindergarten and first grade. The cross-sectional analysis at baseline showed food insecurity was related to a lower risk for obesity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jyoti et al, 2005</td>
<td>ECLS–Kindergarten Cohort, n=11,400 children assessed at baseline in kindergarten and at follow-up in third grade</td>
<td>Parent interview responses to the U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module</td>
<td>Measured height and weight</td>
<td>Children from persistently food-insecure households had a 0.35 kg/m² greater gain in BMI and a 0.65 kg greater gain in weight compared with children from persistently food-secure households; in stratified analyses, differences were significant only among girls. Becoming food insecure was associated with greater gains in weight and BMI among boys, but nonsignificantly with smaller weight and BMI gains among girls.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECLS = Early Child Longitudinal Study
PSID = Panel Study of Income Dynamics
BMI = Body Mass Index (Weight [kg]/Height [m²])
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Food Security Measure</th>
<th>Outcome Measure</th>
<th>Summary of Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olson and Strawderman, 2008</td>
<td>Bassett Mothers Health Project, n=463 women in rural New York followed from early pregnancy until two years postpartum</td>
<td>Baseline: three interview items from the Institute of Medicine’s Nutrition Questionnaire Follow-up: three items from the U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module that were included on a mailed survey</td>
<td>Measured height and weight Obesity defined by a BMI&gt;30 kg/m² Major weight gain defined by weighing 10 lbs more at follow-up than in early pregnancy</td>
<td>Food insecurity in early pregnancy was not related to risk for obesity at two years postpartum. Women who were obese in early pregnancy had an increased risk of becoming food insecure at two years postpartum. Women who were both obese and food insecure in early pregnancy were at greatest risk for a major weight gain over the pregnancy and postpartum period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones and Frongillo, 2007</td>
<td>PSID (1999 and 2001), n=5,595 women, ages 18-74</td>
<td>Interview responses to the U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module</td>
<td>Self-reported height and weight Overweight defined by a 25&lt;BM&lt;30 kg/m² Obesity defined by a BMI&gt;30 kg/m² Clinically significant weight gain defined as &gt;5 lbs over two years</td>
<td>There were no significant differences in the percentages of women who gained a clinically significant amount of weight by food insecurity status, regardless of baseline weight status. Overweight women who were on a weight-gain trajectory during the two-year period gained less if they were food insecure versus food secure. A similar relationship was not observed among obese women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitaker and Sarin, 2007</td>
<td>Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing Study, n=1,707 urban women with preschool children born between 1998 and 2000</td>
<td>Interview responses to the U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module</td>
<td>Measured height and weight when their child enrolled in the study was age three years (baseline) and age five years (follow-up) Obesity defined by a BMI&gt;30 kg/m²</td>
<td>Baseline food security status was not related to risk for obesity or change in weight over the two-year follow-up period. Change in food security status was not related to change in weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones and Frongillo, 2006</td>
<td>PSID (1999 and 2001), n=5,303 women, ages 18–74</td>
<td>Interview responses to the U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module</td>
<td>Self-reported height and weight</td>
<td>Compared with women who were persistently food secure, women who were persistently food insecure gained less weight over time. When food insecurity preceded weight change, but did not persist, there was no effect of food insecurity status on weight change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECLS = Early Child Longitudinal Study
PSID = Panel Study of Income Dynamics
BMI = Body Mass Index (Weight [kg]/Height [m²])
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