HER Program Goals

1. Establish a research base for policy, systems, and environmental change strategies that advance health equity in the areas of diet quality and nutrition.

2. Build a vibrant, multidisciplinary field of research and a diverse network of researchers.

3. Ensure that findings are communicated effectively to inform the development of solutions with the goal of promoting health equity.
Logistics

- Participants will be muted
- Ask any tech or logistics questions for the host in the chat bar
- 20-30 minutes of Q&A at the end of the webinar – ask questions for the presenters in the Q&A bar
- Recording and slides will be available at [http://healthyeatingresearch.org/](http://healthyeatingresearch.org/)
Natasha Frost, JD, Public Health Law Center
Zinzi Bailey, ScD, MSPH, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine
Caree Cotwright, PhD, RDN, University of Georgia
Shiriki Kumanyika, PhD, MPH, Drexel University School of Public Health
Moderator: Angela Odoms-Young, PhD, University of Illinois at Chicago
ANGELA ODOMS-YOUNG, PHD
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO
“Racism affects health in profound ways that are over and beyond any of the measures, through systems that have been built up over the years and are now "locked in place, replicating social inequality…Race is not a useful genetic category, but it's a profoundly useful social category.

What race we belong to tells us much more about our society than about our biological make up…At every level of income and education, there is still an effect of race, even wealthy black Americans are statistically less healthy than affluent white people. Health disparities are large and persistent over time.”

“About 220 African-Americans die every day in the United States who would not die if their death rates were similar to those of white people"

~David Williams, PhD

Quote from “David Williams Studies Health Disparities in America, American Psychological Association, February 21, 2018
Overview

1. What is the definition of health disparities, social determinants of health, health equity, and health inequity?

2. Why is it important to look at diet through an equity lens? - the role of cultural/historical trauma and colonization in creating inequity, specifically racial inequity.

3. How do we look at nutrition and diet through an equity lens?
What are the definitions of health disparities, social determinants of health, health equity, and health inequity?

The Federation of Egalitarian Communities:

https://communelifeblog.wordpress.com/2018/10/19/aspiration-al-egalitarianism/
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Disparities</th>
<th>Health Inequities</th>
<th>Health Equity</th>
<th>Social Determinants</th>
<th>Structural Determinants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Differences in the incidence and prevalence of health conditions and health status between groups based on:  
- Race/ethnicity  
- Socioeconomic status  
- Sexual orientation  
- Gender  
- Disability status  
- Geographic location  
- Combination of these | Avoidable differences in health status or distribution of health resources between different populations groups within countries or between countries that are **systematic and unjust.** | Everyone has a fair and just opportunity to be as healthy as possible. This requires removing obstacles to health such as poverty, discrimination, and their consequences, including powerlessness and lack of access to good jobs with fair pay, quality education and housing, safe environments, and health care. | “Place-based social and economic conditions in which people are born, live, age, work, play, & worship whose distribution across populations/communities effectively determines length and quality of life.  
**Material circumstances**-housing and neighborhood quality, consumption potential (financial means to purchase healthy food, warm clothes, etc.), and the physical work environment.  
**Psychosocial circumstances**-psychosocial stressors, stressful living circumstances and relationships, social support and networks.  
**Social cohesion**-the existence of mutual trust and respect among society’s various groups and sections; it contributes to how people and their health are cherished.  
**Health system quality and access**-exposure and vulnerability to risk factors, access to health services and programs to mediate the consequences of illness in individuals’ lives | Those attributes that generate or strengthen a society’s stratification and define people's socioeconomic position. These mechanisms shape the health of a social group based on its location within the **hierarchies of power, prestige, and access to resources.** Their designation as "structural" emphasizes the causal hierarchy of the social determinants in the production of social health inequities. |

CDC, WHO, RWJF
Why is it important to look at nutrition and obesity through an equity lens?
DIET AND HEALTH DISPARITIES
Population mean consumption of food groups of interest by race/ethnicity among US adults ≥20y by NHANES survey cycle, 2011-2012

- Despite improvements over the last decade, only a small numbers of Americans are meeting the recommended levels for most dietary factors.
- Fewer than 1 in 6 adults are consuming sufficient vegetables, fruits, or seafood and 1 in 50 are consuming sufficient whole grains.
- Blacks fall short of recommendations for several food groups/nutrients

Rehm et al., 2016
Disparities in Diet and Related Health Outcomes

USDA, 2019

Prevalence of food insecurity by selected household characteristics, 2019

- All households
- Household composition
  - With children < 18
  - With children < 6
  - Married couple with children
  - Single women with children
  - Single men with children
  - Other households with child
  - No children < 18
  - More than one adult, no children
  - Women living alone
  - Men living alone
  - Household with elderly
  - Elderly living alone
- Race/ethnicity of head
  - White non-Hispanic
  - Black non-Hispanic
  - Hispanic
  - Other non-Hispanic
- Income-to-poverty ratio
  - Under 1.00
  - Under 1.30
  - Under 1.85
  - 1.85 and over
- Income unknown
- Area of residence
  - Inside metropolitan area
  - In principal cities
  - Not in principal cities
  - Outside metropolitan area
- Census region
  - Northeast
  - Midwest
  - South
  - West

Estimates of Food Insecurity During the COVID Crisis (April 2020)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>Respondents with Children</th>
<th>Respondents with Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Food just didn’t last</td>
<td>Worried food would run out</td>
<td>Food just didn’t last</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nbach & Pitts, 13 May 2020
Prevalence of obesity among youth aged 2–19 years, by sex and race and Hispanic origin: United States, 2015–2016

NCHS Data Brief ● No. 288 ● October 2017
Trends\textsuperscript{*},\textdagger in obesity prevalence among youths (persons aged 2–19 years), by household income — National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, United States, 1999–2002 through 2011–2014

Ogden et al., 2018
Impact of Broader Inequity

Disability and Weight Status
- Obesity among children with developmental disabilities (29.7%) and autism (30.4%) also appears significantly higher compared with children in the general population (Bandini et al., 2005; Curtin et al., 2010; Segal et al., 2016).
- 20% of children 10 through 17 years of age who have special health care needs are obese compared with 15% of children of the same ages without special health care needs (NCSH, 2007).

Sexual Minority Status/Gender Identity and Weight Status
- Cisgender sexual minority male adolescents had lower or no additional risk for overweight or obesity, whereas cisgender sexual minority females demonstrated greater risk for overweight and obesity (Grammar et al., 2019).
- Among males, heterosexual individuals showed greater one-year BMI gains than gay males across all race/ethnicity groups. Among females, white and Latina bisexual individuals had higher BMI than same-race/ethnicity heterosexual individuals regardless of age; there were no sexual orientation differences in black/African Americans (Katz-Wise et al., 2014)

Intersectionality
- The prevalence of obesity among youth living in households headed by college graduates was lower than that among those living in households headed by less educated persons for each race-Hispanic origin group. However, obesity prevalence was lower in the highest income group compared with the other groups among non-Hispanic white females, but not among non-Hispanic black females, non-Hispanic white males, or non-Hispanic black males (Ogden et al., 2018).
- African American/Latinx individuals that identify as sexual minorities may be at greater risk for negative health outcomes due to experiences of minority stress based on being a member of multiple oppressed groups (Katz-Wise et al., 2014)
- African American/Latinx individuals with a disability are at great risk for overweight/obesity (Rimmer et al., 2010)
ROOTED IN SOCIAL AND STRUCTURAL DETERMINANTS
Communities in Action: Pathways to Health Equity (NAS, 2017)

- Historical and Political
- Persist over the Life Course
Pathways

Racial discrimination includes 2 components:

1. “Differential treatment on the basis of race that disadvantages a racial group” (disparate treatment)
   - “Disparate treatment,” studies have shown that racial discrimination limits people of color’s access to educational and employment opportunities, housing, and other resources, resulting in social and economic consequences

2. “treatment on the basis of inadequately justified factors other than race that disadvantages a racial group” (disparate impact).
   - “Disparate impact,” also has implications for creating racial/ethnic disparities (e.g. income inequity).
• Racial Segregation and Neighborhood Disadvantage
• Education, Income Inequality and Debt
• Discrimination, Trauma, and Stress

Fewer Opportunities, Higher Costs

Source: Paradies et al; Systemic Reviews 2013 2:85
Racial Segregation

Among middle- and upper-income households, African-Americans are more likely than Hispanics or whites to live in disadvantaged neighborhoods that are surrounded by spatial disadvantage. More than half of middle- and upper-income African-American households (52%) live in neighborhoods that are disadvantaged and surrounded by disadvantage, compared to 44% of Hispanic households and 11% of white households.

Only 31% of middle- and upper-income black households live in advantaged tracts that are surrounded by spatial advantage, compared to 39% of Hispanics and 77% of whites.
Mapping Life Expectancy (VCU/RWJF, 2015)
Income Inequality

Median usual weekly earnings of full-time wage and salary workers age 25 and older by educational attainment, 2014 annual averages

Children Living in Poverty for Racial/Ethnic Subgroups
Incarceration Rates by Race

- White Men: 1 in 17
- Black Men: 1 in 3
- Latino Men: 1 in 6

Source: sentencingproject.org

State and federal prisoners per 100,000 residents
Men, by race/ethnicity and age: 2013

Figure by Philip N. Cohen with data from Bureau of Justice Statistics
How do we look at health equity through a nutrition, diet, and obesity lens?
With its origins in philosophy, epistemologies of ignorance highlight the role and function of ignorance in the practice and production of knowledge. For example, conventional biomedical, biobehavioral, and psychosocial frameworks and the public health research, interventions, and policies they inform typically conceptualize health primarily as a property of individuals and the result of individual behaviors. In so doing, they obscure the role of social–structural factors (e.g. political, economic, institutional discrimination) that constrain the health of historically marginalized individuals, communities, and societies...

Neglecting the historical legacy of how race (as well as the other marginalized social positions that intersect with race) has structured social inequality for people of color in the United States serves to center the health experiences of White people as normative, “color blinds” White privilege to highlight positive health outcomes among White people as the product of their individual actions, and reifies negative stereotypes about the “irresponsible” health behaviors of people of color.

What is our Epistemology?

Do we believe:

◦ Inequity exists across systems, structures, policies, and institutions in the United States, including public health, criminal justice, education, financial, housing, etc.
◦ Inequity is deeply entrenched and historic.
◦ Inequities are at the root of differences in childhood obesity outcomes and that these outcomes are avoidable and unjust
◦ Dominant approaches to systems and behavior change fail to integrate an intentional equity lens into the work including the system of research
◦ Transformation requires systemic equity-driven, evidence-based interventions and training to change thinking, address structural and social determinants of health, and improve outcomes for all populations
4 Steps to Achieve Health Equity (RWJF, 2017)

**Identify important health disparities.** Many disparities in health are rooted in inequities in the opportunities and resources needed to be as healthy as possible. The determinants of health include living and working conditions, education, income, neighborhood characteristic, social inclusion, and medical care. An increase in opportunities to be healthier will benefit everyone but more focus should be placed on groups that have been excluded or marginalized in the past.

**Change and implement policies, laws, systems, environments, and practices to reduce inequities in the opportunities and resources needed to be as healthy as possible.** Eliminate the unfair individual and institutional social conditions that give rise to the inequities.

**Evaluate and monitor efforts using short- and long-term measures** as it may take decades or generations to reduce some health disparities. In order not to underestimate the size of the gap between advantaged and disadvantaged, disadvantaged groups should not be compared to the general population but to advantaged groups.

**Reassess strategies in light of process and outcomes and plan next steps.** Actively engage those most affected by disparities in the identification, design, implementation, and evaluation of promising solutions.
Systems Change with an Equity Lens
(Bamdad and Mann, Building a Movement Project)

1. **Grounding in shared humanity.** The systems change effort is aligned around a clear vision for change that recognizes the unique and individual needs of everyone in the system. The effort seeks to repair, restore and lift up relationships and connections across people and communities to support shared stewardship for change.

2. **Reinforcing and resourcing decisions made by communities affected by injustice.** The systems change effort redistributes and rebalances power. Communities are a part of meaning-making and decision-making rather than simply informed. This includes providing communities with the funding, training and information needed to make decisions that serve them.

3. **Shifting the role of power from reinforcing systems of injustice to sparking equitable change.** The approach is grounded in an understanding of how white supremacy and patriarchy have shaped systems and structures to perpetuate inequity. The strategy assesses who/what has power and how we build, redistribute and share power to transform systems and prevent systems from resetting back to the status quo.

4. **Addresses the internal condition of the intervener as well as the system.** Effective systems change requires the intervener to look inward and tend to the inner health of the change effort in order to effectively spur change. The systems change effort seeks to alter the dominant and oppressive narratives we tell ourselves and supports people in being grounded and in touch with their emotions so that they can be in relation with one another.
BEFORE EQUITY CONSULTATION

1) To help providers follow your state’s healthy eating policies, are in-person trainings:

Offered to:
- Centers?
- Family day care homes?
- Other?

Offered:
- Monthly
- 6-11 times per year
- 3-5 times per year
- 1-2 times per year
- Less than annually

AFTER EQUITY CONSULTATION

a) Are the trainings offered in multiple locations?
- Yes
- No

b) Which languages are trainings offered in?
- English only
- Multiple languages

c) Are there culturally-specific trainings? If so, please describe:

d) Are there any fees that child care providers have to pay in order to access the training?
- Yes
- No
Are there any specific funds earmarked for providing training, technical assistance, or other supportive resources for helping providers meet standards for nutrition, physical activity, and screen time?

的选择:

- Yes:
  - [If yes] To whom are these funds targeted (check all that apply):
    - All providers – no specific target OR:
      - Providers participating in state QRIS
      - Providers receiving CCDF subsidies
      - Family child care providers
      - Center-based providers
      - Rural providers
      - Urban providers

- No
In your view, how much of a priority is providing training or technical assistance on your state’s child care regulations for specific providers?

For providers serving predominantly families who are English language learners:

a. Not a priority at all  
b. Minimal priority  
c. Same priority  
d. More of a priority  
e. Highest priority

For providers serving predominantly low income families:

a. Not a priority at all  
b. Minimal priority  
c. Same priority  
d. More of a priority  
e. Highest priority

For providers serving predominantly families from marginalized racial/ethnic groups:

a. Not a priority at all  
b. Minimal priority  
c. Same priority  
d. More of a priority  
e. Highest priority

For providers who are English language learners themselves:

a. Not a priority at all  
b. Minimal priority  
c. Same priority  
d. More of a priority  
e. Highest priority
CAREE J. COTWRIGHT, PHD, RDN, LD
UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA
64% of students at Clarke Middle School qualify for free or reduced lunch.

In 2017, the Clarke County School District reported a graduation rate of 80%.

In 2016, the poverty rate in Clarke County was 35%.

8,121 of those in poverty in 2016 were under 18 years old.
HUNTER & HOLMES

UGA’s First African American Students

1961
MARY FRANCES EARLY
Her Impact and Legacy Continue

1962
“Instead of rushing to solutions we must rush to understanding.”-Jeremy Everett
Black Researcher Conducting Research in Black Communities
In the Community
The Importance of Understanding in Equity

Griot: The Keeper of Stories
The rush to understanding
If you have come to help me, you are wasting your time. But if you have come because your liberation is tied up with mine, then let us work together.

— Lilla Watson —
SHIRIKI KUMANYIKA, PHD, MPH
DREXEL UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH
Getting to Equity in Obesity Prevention

So what do I do next?
Getting to Equity in Obesity Prevention

Free access at: https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/10.2105/AJPH.2019.305221

A Framework for Increasing Equity Impact in Obesity Prevention (“Getting to Equity Framework”)

Supplemental file a: Excerpts from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Practitioner’s Guide to Advancing Health Equity

Supplemental file b: Potential tool for rating research proposals on sensitivity to health equity issues

Equity-oriented obesity prevention framework

Potential policy and systems change interventions

- Food retail and provision
  - Schools and worksites
  - Built environment
  - Parks and recreation
  - Transport

- Promotion of unhealthy products
  - Higher costs of healthy foods
  - Threats to personal safety
  - Discrimination
  - Social exclusion

INCORPORATE

- Increase healthy options
- Reduce deterrents

- Nutrition assistance programs
- Economic development
- Legal services
- Education and job training
- Housing subsidies
- Tax credits

- Empowered communities
- Strategic partnerships
- Entrepreneurship
- Behavior change knowledge and skills
- Promotion of healthy behaviors

BUILD ON COMMUNITY CAPACITY

Individual and community resources and capacity
Kumanyika AJPH 2019
How we can increase the equity impact of research?

- Acknowledge historical oppression and structural racism as underlying drivers of inequities.
- Identify inequities related to intervention settings.
- Identify people’s life circumstances, hopes, needs, and realities related to topic of the PSE intervention in these contexts.
- Think about intervention elements and how they are assumed to work and examine validity of assumptions.
- Consider threats to effectiveness and look for ways to address them by increasing individual and community resources and capacity (HER Tool Appendix).
- Combine interventions for synergy within and across policy and people/community domains.

Kumanyika AJPH Oct 2019
## Analysis of Settings and Environments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment type</th>
<th>Micro-environment (settings)</th>
<th>Macro-environment (sectors)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>Food</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>What is/isn’t available?</td>
<td>What are the financial factors?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-cultural</td>
<td>What are the rules?</td>
<td>What are the attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, values and practices?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis From “People” Perspective

Who are the people in these settings?

How might their lives and perspectives differ from those of people in communities where these interventions seem to be working?

What are they trying to accomplish? Would the intervention help?

What resources and assets available in other settings are or are not there?

What non-obesity “co-benefits might this intervention offer?

What liabilities might it pose?
Analysis From Intervention Perspective

In what ways is the intervention relevant to this population and context?

What is the primary pathway for the intervention effect and what assumptions suggest that this is a valid pathway?

Are these assumptions met in this context? If not, what needs to be changed or added?

What contextual factors or other interventions might adversely influence or enhance the effects of the intervention?

How can resources and capacity be enhanced to improve intervention effectiveness?
Series of questions that prompt for evaluation of how well equity issues have been considered in terms of the population context, study rationale, intervention design, sample design, data collection and analysis plan, evidence of community engagement, and team composition.

Based on concepts in the Getting to Equity Framework.

Underlying rationale and principles in an Appendix to the tool

Developed for potential use in the RWJF Healthy Eating Research program [https://healthyeatingresearch.org/](https://healthyeatingresearch.org/)

Appendix Content

• Definitions
  o Health equity
  o Priority populations
  o Criteria for research impact on health equity
  o Characteristics of research that can impact health equity

• Potential factors that influence the relative effectiveness of Policy, Systems, and Environmental (PSE) change strategies on health equity
  o Effectiveness issues
  o Examples
  o Implications
Potential factors that influence the relative effectiveness of PSE strategies in priority populations (issues, examples, implications) (1)

**Different logic** – The intervention has a different role or different leverage within the change pathway in the priority compared to the reference population and is, therefore, relatively more or less pivotal in driving the desired population behavior changes in the priority population.

**Differential salience** - The intervention is more or less relevant to the priority population needs and preferences. Cultural adaptations would be in this category, but contextual adaptations are also important.

**Differential reach** – Relatively more or fewer people in the priority population are exposed to the intervention.
Potential factors that influence the relative effectiveness of PSE strategies in priority populations (issues, examples, implications) (2)

- **Differential intensity** – A single intervention may not be strong enough to overcome competition from other, related but opposing features of the social or economic environment.

- **Differential feasibility** – Uptake of the intervention is limited or sporadic because of feasibility issues.

- **Side effects** – Access to or net benefit of the intervention is altered because of side-effects of the intervention, particularly unfavorable side effects.
### TOOL

- **Background and Significance**
- **Specific Aims and Study Hypothesis**
- **Research Design and Methods**
  - Study Design
  - Populations and settings
  - Theoretical framework/conceptual model
  - Research methods and measures
  - Data analysis
- **Potential limitations and challenges**
- **Deliverables and communications plan**
- **Project Team**

---

#### Questions for Rating Research Proposals for Sensitivity to Health Equity Issues

**Instructions:** This tool is designed for review of proposals related to policy, systems, and environmental (PSE) interventions—either original research or natural experiments within this domain. Health equity considerations should be addressed in all HER proposals. Please rate each section of the proposal on the potential to have an impact on achieving health equity. See appended definitions and examples before and during the use of this tool.

**Cursor over numbered box to enter or remove a check mark. NA = Not applicable**

**NOTE:** Poor can be used if the application is judged to be poor or weak on this aspect of the issue addressed in the question and is not discussed in the application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanation of the specific health equity issue or issues to be addressed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Explanation of why this proposed research would have an impact on health equity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Likelihood that the findings would have wider applicability for addressing health equity, i.e., outside of the specific setting or population in this research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Specific Aims and Study Hypotheses**

4. How central are health equity issues to this study aims?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. If hypotheses are stated, how specific are they to equity-related issues?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. e.g. related to standards for federal nutrition assistance programs; child care food and beverage policies; and environments; school food and beverage policies and environments; community-wide policies; school and community gardens; menu labeling; provision or distribution of fruits and vegetables; provision of drinking water; increased access to potable water; neighborhood availability of healthy restaurant food; neighborhood availability of healthy food retail; point of purchase prompts for healthy eating; taxes on sugar beverages; taxes on unhealthy snacks; pricing incentives for healthy food and beverage purchases; provision of supports for breastfeeding; curbs on marketing of unhealthy foods to children; social marketing campaigns.
Thank you!

Questions?
Thank you!

• The webinar slides and recording will be available at http://healthyeatingresearch.org/

• Stay up to date on our work and future webinar opportunities at https://healthyeatingresearch.org/email-signup/